

Traffic Flow Dynamics

Data, Models, and Simulation

Errata of the First Edition (2013)

Martin Treiber and Arne Kesting

October 27, 2017

In the following, we list only errors relating to the content.

- **Chapter 3.1, page 14:** In the last term, nominator and denominator are permuted. The correct equation reads

$$T_\alpha = t_\alpha^0 - t_{\alpha-1}^1 = \Delta t_\alpha - \frac{l_{\alpha-1}}{v_{\alpha-1}} \quad (3.4)$$

- **Chapter 3.3, page 19:** Equation (3.20) is incorrect. The correct equation reads

$$\rho = \frac{Q}{V} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\sigma_V}{V} Q \sigma_{\Delta t} r_{v_\alpha, \Delta t_\alpha}} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

which can also be approximated by

$$\rho = \frac{Q}{V} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\sigma_V}{V} \frac{\sigma_Q}{Q} r_{v_\alpha, \Delta t_\alpha}} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

where $\sigma_{\Delta t}$ is the standard deviation of the (vehicle-to-vehicle) time headways.

- **Chapter 7.2, page 74, and Problem 7.6:** Equation (7.16) is only valid if the effective density ρ_k of cell k is defined using the downstream number of lanes as reference, $\rho_k^{\text{tot}} = I_{\text{down}} \rho_k$.
- **Parameter discussion of Payne's model on p. 139:** The parameter c_0 is of the order of $+10 \text{ m/s}$, not $\pm 10 \text{ m/s}$.
- **Chapter 8.3.2, page 86, Eq. (8.9):** Replace $Q_e(\rho_1)$ by $Q_e(\rho_2)$ and vice versa
- **Chapter 9.5, page 146:** There are sign errors in Equation (9.31): The correct equation reads

$$S_{\text{inh}} = -\frac{Q^2}{\rho I} \frac{dI}{dx} + \frac{Q \nu_{\text{rmp}}}{\rho} + \rho A_{\text{rmp}}. \quad (9.31)$$

- **Chapter 9.5.5, page 152:** Spurious “S” at the beginning of the text below Eq. (9.45)
- **Solutions to Problem 9.5, page 455:** In the last equation of this solution, there are sign errors related to that of Chapter 9.5: The right-hand side of this equation should read

$$\frac{\rho V_e^* - Q}{\tau} - \frac{Q^2}{\rho I} \frac{dI}{dx} + \frac{Q \nu_{rmp}}{\rho} + \rho A_{rmp}.$$

- **Chapter 9.5.4, page 148:** “velocity!characteristic” should read “characteristic velocity”.
- **Equation (9.36), p. 150:** The layout of the matrix on the right-hand side is misleading. The matrix component C_{21} is given by $-V^2 + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}$, and C_{22} by $2V + \frac{\partial P}{\partial Q}$.
- **Chapter 10.8, page 176:** Replace $\frac{\partial V(x,t)}{\partial t} t$ by $\frac{\partial V(x,t)}{\partial t} T$ in the second line of Eq. (10.29).
- **Chapter 11.1, page 182:** replace “ $=\geq$ ” by “ $=$ ” in Eq. (11.3)
- **Table 11.2, page 190:** Typical accelerations in city traffic are 1.5 m/s^2 , not 1.0 m/s^2 . Moreover, the typical parameter values of this table are valid for cars, only. On freeways/highways, trucks (and their drivers) are characterized by a desired speed of 80 km/h . In any scenario, the time-gap parameter of trucks is of the order of 2 s , and the acceleration and comfortable deceleration parameters are somewhat lower than that for cars. Furthermore, the IDM can also be applied to other “self-driven agents” such as pedestrians as shown in the updated table below:

Parameter	Cars	Cars	Trucks	Pedestrians
	Freeway	City	Freeway	Single File
Desired speed v_0	120 km/h	54 km/h	80 km/h	5 km/h
Time gap T	1.0 s	1.0 s	1.8 s	0.8 s
Minimum gap s_0	2 m	2 m	3 m	0.2 m
Acceleration exponent δ	4	4	4	1
Acceleration a	1.0 m/s^2	1.5 m/s^2	0.5 m/s^2	1.5 m/s^2
Comfortable deceleration b	1.5 m/s^2	2.0 m/s^2	1.0 m/s^2	2.0 m/s^2

- **Equations (11.23), (11.24), page 197:** The second lines of the rhs. of these equations are misleading. The parenthesis does not enclose the argument of the free-acceleration function but is a multiplicative factor: Replace a_{free} by $a_{\text{free}}(v)$ in these equations, particularly, the second line of the rhs. of (11.23) reads $a_{\text{free}}(v) (1 - z^{(2a)/a_{\text{free}}(v)})$.
- **Figure 11.10, p. 201:** In the city scenario (right column), the acceleration parameter was set $a = 1.5 \text{ m/s}^2$ while the value in Table 11.2 is set to $a = 1.0 \text{ m/s}^2$.

Since the higher value is more realistic for city traffic, it should be changed in the second data column of the table to this value.

- **Equation (15.38), page 274:** The layout of the matrix (first factor on the lhs.) is misleading. Its 21-component reads $-a_s$, and its 22 component $\lambda - (a_v + a_{v_l} e_i k)$.
- **First sentence of last paragraph of p. 330:** The downstream detector is located at $x_d = 481.8$ km, not 422.2 km.